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ABSTRACT

Background: Premature infants are exposed to high levels of noise in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU).

Purpose: This study evaluated the effect of a relaxing music therapy intervention composed by artificial intelligence on respiratory rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and heart rate.

Methods: A double-blind, randomized, controlled trial was conducted in the NICUs of 2 general public hospitals in Andalusia, Spain. Participants were 17 healthy premature infants, randomly allocated to the intervention group or the control group (silence) at a 1:1 ratio. To be included in the study, the subjects were to be 32 to 36 weeks of gestation at birth (M = 32.33; SD = 1.79) and passed a hearing screening test satisfactorily. The intervention lasted 20 minutes, 3 times a day for 3 consecutive days, while infants were in the incubator. Infants’ heart rate, respiratory rate, and blood pressure were assessed before and after each intervention session.

Results: After each session, the respiratory rate decreased in the experimental group (main between-groups effect ($F_{1,13} = 6.73$, $P = .022$, $\eta^2_{partial} = 0.34$)). Across the sessions, the heart rate increased in the control group (main between-groups effect, $F_{1,11} = 5.09$, $P = .045$, $\eta^2_{partial} = 0.32$).

Implications for Research: Future studies can use this music intervention to assess its potential effects in premature infants.

Implications for Practice: Nurses can apply the relaxing music intervention presented in this study to ameliorate the impact of the stressful environment on premature infants.
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BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE

Advances in therapeutic interventions on premature infants have increased during the past decade.$^{1-3}$

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citation appears in the printed text and is provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal’s Web site (www.advancesinneonatalcare.org).

Correspondence: Rafael A. Caparros-Gonzalez, MSc, RN, Mind, Brain, and Behavior Research Center (CIMCYC), Campus de Cartuja s/n, 18011, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain (rcg47@correo.ugr.es; rafwolffy@gmail.com).

Copyright © 2018 by The National Association of Neonatal Nurses

DOI: 10.1097/ANC.0000000000000448

Nevertheless, prematurity continues to be associated with short-term and accumulative negative outcomes resulting in respiratory disorders and cardiovascular instability.$^{4,6}$ The neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) environment has been described as stressful in itself, and it is related to adverse consequences on infants’ health and safety.$^{7}$ For example, premature infants might be particularly vulnerable to unpredictable noise from the NICU (such as alarms or staff conversations), which might produce health disturbances.$^{8}$ Thus, noise would have an impact on the cardiac and respiratory systems, placing stress on premature infants.$^{9,10}$ Loud noise levels above 70 decibels in the NICU increases the respiratory and heart rates of premature infants.$^{8,9}$ High levels of noise in the NICU would have detrimental effects on the premature infant physiological state and future neurodevelopment.$^{8,10}$

Intentional sounds such as music, through its unique acoustic properties, can reduce stress and hyperalertness in premature infants.$^{11,12}$ Relaxing music (characterized by slow tempo, regular rhythm, and low pitches) was an effective nonpharmacological intervention to stabilize physiological measures,$^{13,14}$ as it reduces the release of certain neuroendocrine agents (eg, cortisol) and enhances the action of the parasympathetic nervous system, lowering heart rate,
respiratory rate, and other stress-related responses.\textsuperscript{15,16} Music can be perceived even in early fetal life.\textsuperscript{17} Although the maturation of the cochlea occurs around 35 weeks of gestation,\textsuperscript{18} hearing development starts earlier, with most fetuses reacting to sounds of 110 decibels or more at 20 weeks of gestation.\textsuperscript{19} Thus, music therapy protocols for premature infants can be applied after 28 weeks of gestation.\textsuperscript{20}

Although some evidence supports the use of music-based interventions in premature infants in the neonatal period,\textsuperscript{21} there still remain some inconsistencies.\textsuperscript{22,23} Music therapy in the NICU has soothing properties that may improve brain development (eg, larger brain volume and better microstructure) measured through 3-dimensional volumetric magnetic resonance, increase nonnutritive sucking, and positively influence physiological parameters.\textsuperscript{12,24-26} For example, music therapy can stabilize physiological parameters including heart rate,\textsuperscript{27,29} respiratory rate,\textsuperscript{27,29} oxygen saturation,\textsuperscript{28} and systolic blood pressure parameters.\textsuperscript{28,30,31}

Music protocols recommended for infants in the NICU include a constant volume level below 70 decibels, a maximum time of 1.5 hours of music each day, and an avoidance of sounds generated by toys and mobile phones.\textsuperscript{20} While most interventions using music in the NICU have been associated with an increased focus on developmental care and the potential for optimal maturation of premature infants, inconsistency in findings persist, with greatest benefits on premature infants born before 28 weeks of gestation.\textsuperscript{24,28} A recent randomized controlled trial using lullaby music via headphones at a volume of 50 to 60 decibels reported no significant differences in mean values of the respiratory and heart rates, oxygen saturation, and behavioral states of premature infants.\textsuperscript{32} This study did not find beneficial effects of music on premature infants with gestational age ranging from 28 to 37 weeks.\textsuperscript{32} Previous studies showed a lack of commonly applied definitions for the outcome variables of interest.\textsuperscript{28,32}

The possible mechanism implicated in the effects of a relaxing music intervention is its influence on the relative dominance of the autonomous nervous system on the heart rate. During stress-related states, the sympathetic–parasympathetic balance is altered as a consequence of a higher dominance of the sympathetic nervous system on the heart rate with subsequent parasympathetic withdrawal.\textsuperscript{33} Besides, the sympathetic–parasympathetic balance and the reflex that interrelates the respiratory and heart rates are altered in premature infants.\textsuperscript{34}

In the present double-blind, randomized, controlled trial, we aimed to clarify the effects of a relaxing music therapy intervention, known to diminish stress responses in adults,\textsuperscript{35} on the respiratory rate (breaths per minute), oxygen saturation (percentage of oxygen in the bloodstream), systolic (during the contraction of the heart) and diastolic (between contractions of the heart) blood pressure (compression blood makes against its walls), and heart rate (beats per minute) of premature infants hospitalized in an NICU. Terms and definitions are presented in Table 1. We studied both their immediate physiological responses in the particular sessions and the accumulative effect of the relaxing music therapy intervention during the 8 sessions while the premature infants were in the NICU. We hypothesized to find changes in the respiratory and heart rates but not oxygen saturation or blood pressure.

### What This Study Adds

- A relaxing music tune developed by artificial intelligence has potential to stabilize the respiratory and heart rates among NICU premature infants lying in incubators.
- This is the first double-blind, randomized, controlled trial conducted on premature infants using a relaxing music tune composed with no human intervention.

### METHODS

#### Design

A double-blind, randomized, controlled trial was conducted to evaluate a relaxing music therapy intervention on premature infants hospitalized in the NICU. Participants were randomly allocated to the study groups. The independent variable or intervention, exposure to the relaxing music therapy, was used as the between-subjects factor, with 2 levels: (1) exposure to music, and (2) silence, considered as the control condition. Participants assigned to the

### TABLE 1. Table of Terms\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>The number of times a heart beats per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate</td>
<td>The number of breaths per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturation</td>
<td>The amount (percentage) of oxygen transported by red blood cells in the bloodstream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>The amount of pressure the blood makes against the arteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>The amount of pressure the blood makes against the arteries after a heart contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>The amount of pressure the blood makes against the arteries before a heart contraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Definitions of terms were obtained from the Kingston Health Sciences Centre glossary of terms—Pediatric Care, and reprinted with permission.\textsuperscript{36}
experimental condition (exposure to music) were exposed to 20 minutes of relaxing music, 3 times a day for 3 consecutive days. Participants assigned to the control condition (silence) were exposed to the same protocol but using a 20-minute track of silence. Silence was defined as the absence of any sound and noise within the played track. In addition, the current study included repeated measures to observe the effects of a relaxing music intervention on physiological parameters across the whole intervention, not just pre-/posttest values. This is important in the context of our theoretical underpinnings due to repeated-measures studies that reflect more efficiently the effects of music therapy interventions on physiological parameters. The CONSORT flow diagram is presented in the Figure 1.

Study Setting
Participants were recruited while in the NICU of 2 general public hospitals in Andalusia, Spain (Figure 1). The inclusion criteria were premature infants who had a satisfactory hearing screening test through a brain stem auditory evoked potentials test and were in the incubator while in the NICU. Premature infants were excluded if they were being treated with sedative, analgesia medications, caffeine, or steroids; if they were supported by ventilation, continuous positive airway pressure, or nasal cannula; if they had any auditory impairment, malformation, or disease; if they were exposed to any potentially central nervous system depressor prenatally; or if they were diagnosed with a heart, lung, or congenital disease. Premature infants received standard medical care in the NICU.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Granada. The Research Ethics Committees of the hospitals also approved the study protocol. We obtained written informed consent from all the participants’ parents (mother or father, indistinctly) prior to the start

FIGURE 1

CONSORT flow diagram of participants. Infants were randomized into 2 groups. In the experimental group, infants were exposed to a relaxing music therapy intervention 3 times per day during consecutive days; in the control group, infants were exposed to the same protocol but using a silence track instead of music.
of the study. The experimental protocol was implemented between February 2014 and May 2015 in accordance with the guidelines for music therapy interventions, selection, and acoustic exposure for premature infants in the NICU. All data were collected without interfering with the healthcare provider routine.

Sample Size and Randomization
Sample size calculations (carried out by G*Power 3.1.3) were conducted to visualize interaction effects considering a between-groups variable with 2 levels and a within-subjects variable with 8 levels. Participants meeting selection criteria were assigned a numerical code that linked them to a randomization sequence (Figure 1). These codes were matched to an experimental condition randomly at a 1:1 ratio by means of an Excel RAND function (Microsoft Excel, 2010). To ensure allocation concealment, a third researcher was responsible for this task. This researcher stored the experimental music tracks on a secure digital card, depending on this randomized matching. According to this, when the participant’s code was matched to the experimental condition, the Melomics relaxing music track was recorded; otherwise, the track consisted of silence.

Twenty-two premature infants were recruited and randomized to either the experimental group (n = 11) or the control group (n = 11). Five participants were discharged from the incubator before finishing the intervention. These participants were excluded from the data analysis, as major protocol violations happened (they received only the adaptation session of the intervention). Therefore, results from 17 infants with gestational age at the time of delivery ranging from 32 to 36 weeks (M = 32.33; SD = 1.79) were analyzed. According to an updated meta-analysis, we estimated that a sample size of 8 subjects was needed to obtain a good effect size of the relaxing music therapy intervention ($f = 0.41; \alpha = 0.05, 1 − \beta = 0.80$), assuming a correlation between the levels of the within-subjects variable = 0.50.

Measures
Single values of an infant’s heart rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, and blood pressure were measured using the Nihon Kohde Vismo PVM-2703 patient monitor (Nihon Kohde Inc, Tokyo, Japan). Given these values are always changing, the interventionist (the person who applied the experimental protocol) recorded the value that appeared on the patient monitor at each measurement time point during the intervention. It was previously reported that change scores account for the effects of the intervention, independently of the basal state or pretest differences. The respiratory and heart rates were obtained through 3 electrodes applied in a triangular pattern on the infant’s chest according to the specifications of the manufacturer. Noninvasive blood pressure was obtained through a blood pressure cuff on the infant’s legs. Blood pressure data were collected before each session and afterward at 0 and 20 minutes of the intervention/silence. Oxygen saturation data were obtained through a sensor attached to the infant’s feet.

Procedure
The relaxing music therapy intervention consisted of a relaxing tune composed and synthesized by Melomics computer system with no human intervention and following the recommendations of 10 expert musicians. It also followed the scientific recommendations for creating relaxing music: slow tempo (up to 52–54 beats per minute), string and wind instruments, highly predictable melody, low tone, lack of dissonances, and at a volume below 50 decibels. Moreover, a group of expert musicians and a small segment of the general population endorsed this tune as relaxing. The tune has been previously applied successfully in a randomized controlled trial with laboratory-induced stress protocol on healthy undergraduates. The audio file can be found on http://l.j.mp/melomics-prematures. Its score is displayed on https://goo.gl/AhcWY9. Both music alone or music combined with human voice are beneficial for premature infants. A music track was used in this protocol because of its previously reported beneficial effects on infants and adults.

The study protocol consisted of 8 sessions across 3 consecutive days (in the morning between 9 AM and 10 AM, in the afternoon between 2 PM and 3 PM, and in the evening between 9 PM and 10 PM). These times were chosen to avoid interfering with typical healthcare routines in these NICUs. The first session was considered an adaptation session to music devices and was not included in the analyses. Intervention sessions were carried out separate from any caregiving interactions such as routine assessments or infant feeding time. Parents and relatives were not present at the bedside during the intervention but were not asked to be present or avoid visiting during the time of the intervention. To standardize the protocol among participants, the overall NICU sensory environment during the intervention was free of tactile, gustative, and multimodal stimulation. The number of stressful procedures the infant had been exposed to during those 3 days was not considered.

The experimental sessions took place in the incubators (Giraffe Incubator Carestation, GE Healthcare) and lasted 32 minutes each. This length of time was selected according to international guidelines for use of music with premature infants. The session was divided up by an initial 10-minute baseline period (presession measurement), followed by a 20-minute music/silence period. Finally, there was a 2-minute postsession measurement (Figure 2).
Before, during, and after the intervention, the general sound level in the overall environment was controlled using a multifunctional environmental controller PCE-222 (PCE Iberica SL, 2013) and was always below 70 decibels. The interventionist began with wiping the speaker surface with an antimicrobial skin cleanser (HibiScrub) containing 4% chlorhexidine gluconate to avoid a potential transmission of pathogenic microorganisms. The interventionist played the tape and recorded the measures. The baseline physiological parameters were obtained 10 minutes before starting the music/silence session (presession measurement). After this time, according to previous recommendations for conducting a randomized controlled trial on the use of music therapy in premature infants, the interventionist placed the speaker in the incubator 20 cm from the infant’s left ear and then turned it on. The music was set to 30 decibels. Because of its low volume, it could not be heard outside the incubator. Therefore, the interventionist was unaware of whether a particular infant had been assigned to the experimental group or the control group. This procedure ensured the blinding of the researchers. A nurse with earphones verified that the track was either music or silence and gave confirmation to the interventionist that the equipment was operating correctly before placing the speaker in the incubator. The nurse verified that silence was the appropriate intervention and not just a music recording that was not playing by controlling the track counter of the speaker. Two minutes after the music/silence session had finished, the physiological measures were obtained again (postsession measurement). The 2-minute postsession measurement was timed to avoid overstimulation of premature infants. Afterward, the interventionist opened the incubator, turned off the speaker, took it out, and closed the incubator.

A neonatal nurse evaluated infant’s potential distress symptoms during the intervention. The participation of the premature infants in this study would have been discontinued if they had shown distress symptoms such as startles, tremor, irregular respiration, flushing of skin, flayed fingers or hand in stop position, gagging, spitting up, grunting, or hiccuping. No distress symptoms were noted among any of the infants. All premature infants were awake during the intervention.

**Data Analysis**

To ensure the homogeneity between study groups, anthropometric variables related to newborns and birth delivery were explored. Thus, the presence of significant differences between groups was studied using \( t \) tests for independent samples when scalar variables were considered and \( \chi^2 \)-based independence tests for categorical variables. The fixed-effects variable (or independent variable by itself) was the relaxing music intervention, with 2 levels: exposure to Melomics music or exposure to silence. The random-effects variables (the covariates) were the posttest outcomes from the first (adaptation) session for each dependent variable.
Short-term effects of the music intervention were evaluated within each intervention session using an independent analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) separately for each dependent variable (mean heart rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure). Thus, a model with a between-groups variable (exposure to the relaxing music therapy intervention) and a within-subjects variable (intervention session) with 8 levels (from second to ninth sessions) was tested. The dependent variable was conceptualized as the change score in each session. We opted for using change scores to control for potential accumulative effects of the intervention. Measurements of the changes were taken from subtracting the posttest values observed in that dependent variable minus the pretest ones. The postsession physiological values from the adaptation session were added as covariates to deal with the reactivity due to the experimental protocol.

We conducted another series of ANCOVAs for each dependent variable to evaluate the long-term effects of the intervention; that is, the accumulative effects of the intervention. Each model included a between-groups factor (exposure to the relaxing music therapy intervention) and an 8-level within-subjects factor (intervention pretest values). In the case of the within-subjects factor, we used the pretest values of each session to evaluate the influence of the intervention on physiological variables before each subsequent session was delivered. Likewise, we used pretest values from the adaptation session as covariates. Ambient noise levels captured by the multifunctional environmental controller PCE-222 decibel levels during the intervention were controlled for in analysis.

Post hoc tests based on the Bonferroni adjustment were used. The Greenhouse-Geisser correction was applied when the violation of sphericity was detected. Effect size estimates were reported by means of \( \eta^2_{\text{partial}} \).

### RESULTS

Table 2 shows demographic characteristics of the participants by study group. There were no significant differences between groups. Participants’ primary medical diagnoses were prematurity at birth. All infants were enrolled in the intervention at less than a week of life and were generally healthy, given the exclusion criteria.

Although the ambient noise experienced by the experimental group using the multifunctional environmental controller PCE-222 during the intervention was higher (\( M = 37.28 \) decibels; SD = 1.09) than the control group (\( M = 36.25 \) decibels; SD = 1.34), no significant differences were found between groups (\( t = 1.75, P = .78 \)).

We found that change measures were significantly reduced for respiratory rate (\( F_{1,13} = 6.73, P = .022, \eta^2_{\text{partial}} = 0.34 \)) for infants who experienced the relaxing music therapy intervention. Moreover, those reductions became lower in session 7 of the intervention (\( P = .041 \)) (Figure 3). No other main, or interaction, effects were observed (all \( P \) values > .05). The direct values obtained at every assessment point for every dependent variable are displayed in Table 3.

### TABLE 2. Infant Demographics by the Intervention Groupa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>Contrast Test</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. participants</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, % males (n)</td>
<td>69.23 (5)</td>
<td>56.25 (5)</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 0.51 )</td>
<td>.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks of gestationb</td>
<td>33.04 (1.74)</td>
<td>32.96 (1.84)</td>
<td>( t = 0.11 )</td>
<td>.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected age, wk</td>
<td>34.75 (1.98)</td>
<td>33.77 (1.56)</td>
<td>( t = 1.13 )</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days of life</td>
<td>5.87 (1.64)</td>
<td>3.55 (1.81)</td>
<td>( t = -0.62 )</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, g</td>
<td>1719.92 (434.39)</td>
<td>1615 (329.28)</td>
<td>( t = 0.74 )</td>
<td>.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apgar test score (5 min)</td>
<td>7.85 (1.95)</td>
<td>7.10 (2.22)</td>
<td>( t = 0.98 )</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth delivery, % (n)</td>
<td>30.77 (3)</td>
<td>6.25 (1)</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 3.78 )</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal (without instrument assistance)</td>
<td>30.77 (3)</td>
<td>25 (2)</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 0.12 )</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean</td>
<td>38.46 (2)</td>
<td>68.75 (6)</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 2.66 )</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aThe values for scalar variables are displayed as means (standard deviations), with \( t \) tests as contrast tests; the percentage of cases are displayed for dichotomous or categorical variables, with \( \chi^2 \) tests as contrast tests.

*bWeeks of gestation at the time of delivery.
Regarding the cumulative effects, infants in the control group had significantly higher heart rates ($F_{1,11} = 5.09, P = .045, \eta^2_{\text{partial}} = 0.32$) than infants exposed to the relaxing music therapy intervention (Figure 4). We also found a significant main within-subjects effect for the respiratory rate ($F_{7,91} = 3.34, P < .001, \eta^2_{\text{partial}} = 0.20$) and an interaction effect between respiratory rate and the pretest values from the adaptation session (used as a covariate) ($F_{7,91} = 3.58, P < .001, \eta^2_{\text{partial}} = 0.22$). Figure 5 shows the association between the adaptation session respiratory levels and session 1 pretest levels. The pattern of association is depicted by the regression line. These results suggest that the respiratory rate tended to slow down over sessions but showed a peak rise during the eighth session. No main, or interaction, effects were observed on oxygen saturation or blood pressure (all $P$ values $> .05$).

**DISCUSSION**

A double-blinded, randomized, controlled trial was conducted to evaluate the effects of a relaxing music therapy intervention on heart rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, and blood pressure of a group of healthy hospitalized premature infants. The change of slowing down in heart rate and lowering in the respiratory rate during music interventions among premature infants in the NICU falls within published literature.$^{31,52,53}$ This change is hypothesized to be due to a potential protective effect of music against NICU noise, as well as a summative effect on heart rate variability. Similarly to other research with premature infants, no significant differences were found in blood pressure.$^{14,28}$ Unlike previous research,$^{34,35}$ we found no changes in oxygen saturation. Other studies did show stabilization in oxygen saturation as a consequence of implementing music-based interventions. However, they did not use relaxing music alone or used lullabies as music stimuli in low birth-weight infants of 24 to 30 weeks of gestation age.$^{34,55}$ Our study offers support for the beneficial effects of a music therapy intervention on premature infants using a relaxing tune developed with no human intervention,$^{13,44}$ without any harmful effects.

A variety of studies have pointed out several good outcomes of music interventions in premature infants,$^{28}$ including lowering heart rate,$^{46}$ lowering respiratory rate,$^{30}$ lowering blood pressure,$^{31}$ and stabilizing oxygen saturation.$^{57}$ The potential mechanism involved in their effects could be that relaxing music may act on the relative dominance of the autonomous nervous system on the heart rate. Overall, the basal state of the heart involves a higher dominance of the parasympathetic branch of autonomous system on heart beating. Several physiological states characterized by an altered sympathetic–parasympathetic balance (for instance, stress-related states) are derived from a higher dominance of the sympathetic nervous system on the heart rate with a subsequent parasympathetic withdrawal, reflected, for instance, by higher levels of heart rate and lower sinus arrhythmia respiratory reflex indexes.$^{58}$ This unbalance is also shown when the respiratory rate is altered, such as in hyperventilation.$^{33}$ It has been reported that the sympathetic–parasympathetic balance is altered in premature infants, as well as the reflex that interrelates cardiac rate and breathing.$^{59}$

We propose that relaxing music by means of emotion contagion mechanisms$^{60}$ leads to a more balanced sympathetic–parasympathetic regulation of the heart rate probably due to a reduction of parasympathetic withdrawal, a physiological shift toward becoming relaxed—which promotes a slower respiratory rate. In turn, the stabilization of the respiratory rate influences the cardiac regulation, resulting in a lower heart rate. On the contrary, no effects were shown to be of significance on the other dependent variables analyzed (oxygen saturation, systolic and diastolic blood pressure).

These results may support the idea that relaxing music could promote a slower withdrawal of the parasympathetic nervous system on the heart rate, taking into account the sympathetic control of blood pressure.$^{61}$ Thus, relaxing music can improve physiological cardiac and respiratory variables in premature infants through the promotion of a healthier regulation of the autonomous nervous system on the heart.
## TABLE 3. Physiological Parameters Across the Intervention Sessions Displayed by Study Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Intervention Session</th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG</td>
<td>150.42 (13.11)</td>
<td>151.17 (17.19)</td>
<td>149.57 (17.19)</td>
<td>149.57 (17.19)</td>
<td>149.57 (17.19)</td>
<td>149.57 (17.19)</td>
<td>149.57 (17.19)</td>
<td>149.57 (17.19)</td>
<td>149.57 (17.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>142.11 (11.16)</td>
<td>141.98 (11.16)</td>
<td>141.98 (11.16)</td>
<td>141.98 (11.16)</td>
<td>141.98 (11.16)</td>
<td>141.98 (11.16)</td>
<td>141.98 (11.16)</td>
<td>141.98 (11.16)</td>
<td>141.98 (11.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPP</td>
<td>64.83 (7.65)</td>
<td>64.17 (7.65)</td>
<td>64.17 (7.65)</td>
<td>64.17 (7.65)</td>
<td>64.17 (7.65)</td>
<td>64.17 (7.65)</td>
<td>64.17 (7.65)</td>
<td>64.17 (7.65)</td>
<td>64.17 (7.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>68.13 (11.72)</td>
<td>68.20 (11.72)</td>
<td>68.20 (11.72)</td>
<td>68.20 (11.72)</td>
<td>68.20 (11.72)</td>
<td>68.20 (11.72)</td>
<td>68.20 (11.72)</td>
<td>68.20 (11.72)</td>
<td>68.20 (11.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>38.33 (8.80)</td>
<td>38.33 (8.80)</td>
<td>38.33 (8.80)</td>
<td>38.33 (8.80)</td>
<td>38.33 (8.80)</td>
<td>38.33 (8.80)</td>
<td>38.33 (8.80)</td>
<td>38.33 (8.80)</td>
<td>38.33 (8.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>148.11 (15.11)</td>
<td>147.02 (15.11)</td>
<td>147.02 (15.11)</td>
<td>147.02 (15.11)</td>
<td>147.02 (15.11)</td>
<td>147.02 (15.11)</td>
<td>147.02 (15.11)</td>
<td>147.02 (15.11)</td>
<td>147.02 (15.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPP</td>
<td>65.00 (9.40)</td>
<td>63.69 (11.72)</td>
<td>63.69 (11.72)</td>
<td>63.69 (11.72)</td>
<td>63.69 (11.72)</td>
<td>63.69 (11.72)</td>
<td>63.69 (11.72)</td>
<td>63.69 (11.72)</td>
<td>63.69 (11.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>64.21 (9.44)</td>
<td>64.19 (9.44)</td>
<td>64.19 (9.44)</td>
<td>64.19 (9.44)</td>
<td>64.19 (9.44)</td>
<td>64.19 (9.44)</td>
<td>64.19 (9.44)</td>
<td>64.19 (9.44)</td>
<td>64.19 (9.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPP</td>
<td>35.56 (8.26)</td>
<td>35.56 (8.26)</td>
<td>35.56 (8.26)</td>
<td>35.56 (8.26)</td>
<td>35.56 (8.26)</td>
<td>35.56 (8.26)</td>
<td>35.56 (8.26)</td>
<td>35.56 (8.26)</td>
<td>35.56 (8.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>35.04 (3.74)</td>
<td>35.04 (3.74)</td>
<td>35.04 (3.74)</td>
<td>35.04 (3.74)</td>
<td>35.04 (3.74)</td>
<td>35.04 (3.74)</td>
<td>35.04 (3.74)</td>
<td>35.04 (3.74)</td>
<td>35.04 (3.74)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continues)
Due to the fact that premature infants from both groups had the same standard care, and infants in the relaxing music exposure group received the music-based intervention, we have confidence that changes in the pretest levels from the second session of exposure are due to the effect of the intervention.

**FIGURE 4**
Respiratory rate change measures across the intervention sessions. Values for respiratory rate are displayed as the average of change measures. Change measures were conceptualized as the subtraction between posttest scores minus the pretest ones. The orange line depicts the trajectory of change measures for control group. Error bars depict the standard error of the mean.

**TABLE 3. Physiological Parameters Across the Intervention Sessions Displayed by Study Groups**, Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Participants</th>
<th>Intervention Session</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>Posttest</td>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>Posttest</td>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>Posttest</td>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>Posttest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG 8</td>
<td>47.36 (15.95)</td>
<td>54.30 (13.28)</td>
<td>44.09 (10.78)</td>
<td>56.00 (20.01)</td>
<td>52.60 (14.25)</td>
<td>49.50 (16.03)</td>
<td>52.50 (13.30)</td>
<td>49.00 (19.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG 9</td>
<td>46.43 (10.14)</td>
<td>40.43 (10.94)</td>
<td>46.45 (13.36)</td>
<td>42.82 (9.46)</td>
<td>46.70 (6.33)</td>
<td>48.36 (15.23)</td>
<td>44.57 (8.11)</td>
<td>44.64 (12.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG 8</td>
<td>95.80 (2.97)</td>
<td>96.67 (3.16)</td>
<td>96.20 (1.62)</td>
<td>95.80 (3.08)</td>
<td>96.90 (1.97)</td>
<td>96.80 (2.49)</td>
<td>94.63 (4.72)</td>
<td>95.25 (3.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG 9</td>
<td>96.29 (3.34)</td>
<td>96.71 (2.23)</td>
<td>96.64 (2.69)</td>
<td>96.00 (2.65)</td>
<td>95.80 (2.25)</td>
<td>95.50 (2.01)</td>
<td>96.31 (1.93)</td>
<td>95.54 (3.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CG, control group, exposed to silence; DBP, diastolic blood pressure (in mm Hg), pressure in artery walls between beats; EG, experimental group, exposed to the relaxing music therapy intervention; HR, heart rate (in bpm), the number of heartbeats per minute; OS, oxygen saturation, fraction of oxygen-saturated hemoglobin in the blood (in percentage); RR, respiratory rate (in breaths per minute), the number of inspirations and expirations per unit time; SBP, systolic blood pressure (in mm Hg), pressure in artery walls when the heart beats.

*The values are displayed as means (standard deviations).
Relaxing Music Improves Physiological Responses in Premature Infants

These effects often result in physiological, maturation, and overall improvements in premature infants’ well-being while in an NICU.62,63

Our results come from a rigorous randomized control trial designed with robust supervision in two NICU settings. Moreover, the incubators where the intervention was carried out re-created a context as highly controlled as the one in a laboratory setting. The data captured with the multifunctional environmental controller PCE-222 during the experimental session substantiate that the noise environment was controlled for.

A limitation of this study was its small sample size to account for possible confounding influences; however, the assumptions required for conducting the appropriate data analyses were satisfied.41 To control the potential influence of blood pressure measurements on stress levels and therefore the physiological parameters, heart rate, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation were measured before inflating the blood pressure cuff. Moreover, our study did not conduct analysis of electrocardiographic frequency bands or nonlinear measures that allowed for distinguishing the relative influences of the autonomous nervous system on the heart rate. We did not collect information on the severity of illness scores. Assessing the severity of illness scores would have provided the specific benefits of music on concrete subgroups of premature infants. Future studies would benefit by using validated assessment tools such as the Score for Neonatal Acute Physiology with Perinatal Extension-II (SNAPPE-II).64 Some premature infants may have a delayed or recycled response to noises. Controlling whether the premature infants have a delayed or recycled response to auditory stimulation would improve prospective studies by evaluating the impact of a music therapy protocol on a range of premature infants. Future studies would benefit from controlling the presence of a delayed response to auditory stimulation on statistical analysis. Although noise levels were always below 70 decibels before, during, and after the intervention, the exact light and sound/noise levels during each intervention/control session were not recorded. Participants discharged from the incubator before finishing the intervention were excluded from data analysis, and intention-to-treat analyses were impossible to perform. Finally, because of the stressful events premature infants are exposed to while in the NICU, future studies should explore the effects of interventions based on relaxing music on other physiological systems such as the endocrine system and integrating measures such as salivary cortisol.

In conclusion, using relaxing music therapeutically appears to improve physiological parameters in premature infants during their hospitalization20,21,29 with no observed negative effects. Our findings are encouraging, and neonatal nurses should feel relatively secure in the use of music therapy interventions with generally healthy premature infants between 32 and 36 weeks of gestational age in an NICU.20,32,65 Although more research is needed, music therapy can be a helpful intervention for premature infants.52

Implications for Research

Our results suggest that the physiological mechanism of relaxing music underpins regulatory changes on heartbeat. However, some gaps arise in terms of concrete aspects of this mechanism. In this regard, future research should study the timing by which music influences on heartbeat regulation (eg, the time frame when the sympathetic–parasympathetic balance on heartbeat changes within a music exposure session or whether changes in physiological parameters remain over time). Future studies should explore the benefits reported in this randomized study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What we know:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prematurity has been associated with negative outcomes resulting in respiratory disorders and cardiovascular instability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Premature infants, while staying for long periods in an NICU after birth, are exposed to chronic and often noxious sounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Therapeutic interventions for premature infants, including music, have increased during the last decade.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **What needs to be studied:** |
| • Effective and low-cost interventions that will promote health development in premature infants. |
| • Additional studies applying a wide range of research designs including randomized controlled trials on the use of music in premature infants. |
| • Comparison of a range of relaxing music tunes on the benefits in premature infants. |

| **What we can do today:** |
| • Reduce environmental noise in the NICU. |
| • Nurses can apply relaxing music to premature infants according to protocols. |
| • Premature infants’ physiological parameters can be improved through listening to relaxing music while in the NICU. |
controlled trial using a relaxing music tune composed by artificial intelligence in a larger, more diverse population of high-risk infants across different nursery settings. Although a previous study reported the benefits of listening to this relaxing music tune to recover after stress exposure, assessing its advantages on various health environments could promote major benefits. Future research should investigate long-term effects after exposure to this relaxing music tune using biological and behavioral measures.

Implications for Practice
This study provides additional evidence that premature infants may benefit from relaxing music tune without negative impacts while in an NICU. According to this study, it is safe for nurses to use this relaxing tune with premature infants between 32 and 36 weeks of gestational age in the NICU. Intubated infants were not included in our study. Therefore, our study does not support the use of this relaxing music tune with this population.
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